

Previous

App F. TX-4

Previous References

1936
(Muzrai)

File No. 91-86

Serial Nos. 1-5

Letter References.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 5

Part B for February 1936

SUBJECT.

Renovation of Temples and Bashi
at Belur and Halebid

2 The Muzrai Comm
" Com. Muzrai
" Chief Engineer
WD 0418-GPB-22,500-29-8-36
1 D. G. Harrison Dist

Sanctions the Muzrai Comm proposal
along an enhanced cost of Rs 25130

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

()

NOTES.

File No. 91-

FILE HEADING

FROM

No.	Dated		Received	
Diary No. 111 {	Enclos.	Spare copies	{	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Upto June 1936 two Grants being Rs 3484 and Rs 24. were being granted from the State and ^{Govt} Muzrai Funds and the General Muzrai Funds" respectively for the renovation of Halabid and Belur temples, every year. The Renovation committee prepared a consolidated programme, costing about Rs 52500, for the next three years. The M.C recommended that the above two Grants may be made available for other institutions

in the State which stand in urgent need of repairs and that the amount of Rs 52,500 may be met from the Chattram Savings Fund the expenditure being spread over a period of three years and his proposal was approved in Govt Order dated 27.6.1936. A sum of Rs 19,000/- was accordingly provided in the Budget Estimates of the Chattram Savings Fund for 1936-37, for the purpose. The expenditure on resolution works to be carried out during the current year must necessarily be limited to the sanctioned amount of Rs 19,000, as previously ordered after careful consideration of the whole situation.

It is now reported that the Executive Engineer has prepared estimates aggregating Rs 27,600 consisting in an excess of Rs 10,600 over the sanctioned expenditure for the purpose. The M.C. has pointed out that it will not be possible to meet this excess expenditure from the closing balance of the Chattram Savings Fund during this year, and he also doubts the ability of the P.W.D. to complete the works costing about Rs 30,000 during the year. He therefore suggests that the four items of work noted below and included in the estimates may be deferred till next year, and the estimates sanctioned for Rs 19,000/- the small excess of Rs 100 being met from the Chattram Savings Fund.

Rs 19,000 I
19,000 II
Q 14,500 III
52,500

SR S

Serial
No.

3) The four items proposed to be deferred till next year are,

- | | |
|---|----------|
| (i) Electric light | Rs 3000 |
| (ii) Acquisition of Houses | " 2,500 |
| (iii) Construction of car shed | " 3,500 |
| (iv) Further work to the main bazaar at Halahid | } " 1700 |

Total, Rs 10,500.

- 4) Regarding point (ii) above, the H.C. has stated that no action till now has been taken to notify for acquisition the old lat-hised houses in ^{front} of the Deher Temple. Kind reference is invited to the G.O. dated 16.9.36 sanctioning the acquisition of certain houses etc for the purpose. The D.C. Hassan had in his letter dated 26.9.36 requested that preliminary notifications about the acquisitions may be published in the Gazette instead of the ~~press~~ notifications published ^{in the Gazette} ~~(in the press)~~ and necessary action has been taken in the matter (vide linked Ltr. No. 104). As the property in question has been (or to be) notified for acquisition, it is doubtful whether the expenditure Rs 2500 proposed therefor can be deferred till next year. As mentioned



File No.

of 193 .

neral
Secreta

Serial
No.



Public Works Secretariat

File No.

Serial
No.

Muz

91 - 36

Letter no P 841 dated 12.30

II

9

1936 for the Muz

Personnel to work on. All-India for
the formation of units of work and their
functions.

GENERAL SECRETARY
26 OCT. 1936

2/11/36

11/11/36
Passed on to the concerned to the
General Secretary to the Government

2/11/36

2/11/36
110

of 36

In his letter dated 30.9.36 received
with Chief Engineer's Memo of the 26.10.36
the A.C. has requested sanction for the
revised estimates for Rs 25,136 in modifi-
cation of his recommendation to sanction
the estimates for Rs 19,100, the excess cost
being Rs 4876 being met from the sources
pointed out by him.

The receipt of the list of works for
Rs 52,000, called for, from the Chief Engineer

240.420

Revised estimate for Swallowham work
and Halobich tanks.

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No.

of 1936.

[App. F. III-2

Serial
No.

will be awaited, if appalled.

By ~~11/11/36~~
3/11/36

you await, but to remind me
CE to kindly exhibit the dispatch
in list of 4/11/36

2
4/11/36

Subed with a draft reminder to the
chief Engineer

By ~~5/11/36~~
5/11/36

2
7/11/36

688 of 25 11.76

The Chief Engineer

the list of boats is 5 not

cur 4
25/11/36
12/11/36

The receipt of the list of boats from the
Chief Engineer will be awaited if appd

18 Refers: paras 6 and 14 Supra
By 12/11/36

12/11/36

Director Secy Halse

File No.

31

of 193

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Serial
No.

4

GE

18 JAN. 1937

467

Letter No. 1000 dated 15.12.36
from the Chief Engineer
re the list of works for Rs 52,500
proposed for the year 1936-37, for
the Belur district, for the
Belur district.

The list of works for Rs 52,500 is
flagged Y and the list of works proposed
for Belur during 1936-37 is flagged Z

Subd with reference to para 6 supra

16/1/37

2/11/37

Gm 4(124).

Are the amounts aggregating to Rs 4876
available with the B.D. for the above works?

Ans

21/737

2/11/37

If appealed the chief Engineer will
be requested unofficially to furnish
the information on the above points.

Yes

2/11/37

B.S.

10

571

Revised estimates for demolition of Habla
Belur

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No.

91

of 1936.

[App. F. III-2]

Serial
No.

24

Forwarded with compliments to the ^{Chief} ~~Engineer~~
~~Commissioner~~ in Mysore for favour of furnishing
the information on the point referred to in para
22 supra

By order

Bangalore
25/1/1937

Mir Jafar / mnc -

In Genl Secy's Office
26/1/-

Returned, with compliments.

2. The Muzrai Commissioner, in his letter No.C.841 dated 30th September 1936, has pointed out the source --- from which the amount of Rs.4,876/- has to be drawn. The amount is said to be available in the Muzrai Pass Books relating to the temples and will have to be placed at the disposal of the Public Works Department by the Muzrai --- Department.

T. Channarayana
Chief Engineer of Mysore.

GENERAL SECRETARY
5 FEB. 1937

mu

Subd with reference to para 23 supra
6/2/37. The Muzrai Comm. has pointed out
the source from which the
additional grant of Rs. 76 required may
be met. But they are amounts

Belur & Halebidu

File No.

of 1936

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Serial
No.

available from the State and General
Muzrai Funds. (Vide G.O. No. 1743-55
Muz. 184-35-6
dated 26th June 1936). They are not funds
of the temples at Belur and Halebidu. They
cannot possibly be therefore found entered
in the Pass books of the temples as ~~stated~~
By the Chief Engineer's note seems to indicate,
~~as~~ since they are savings from the
grants sanctioned from State and
General Muzrai Fund.

ya. and
no man
ava table
2

Three estimates aggregating
to Rs 29,600, as per details noted below
are submitted by the D.P.W.

(i) Rs 22,900	plus	17
(ii) " 4300		0
(iii) " 2400		5
Rs 29,600		

The Muzrai commissioner has stated
that the two items noted ^{in the way in} ~~below~~ and included
in the above estimates, may be deleted
to an extent of Rs 4470 and the
estimates sanctioned for Rs 25,130
(net amount). The cost being ^{estimated to be Rs 23,976} ~~as per the year~~
as follows:

(i) Rs 3000	Shaded light
(ii) 1470	General broken beam
4470	Halebidu Temple

Rs 19100/- from the provision in the
4876 from the savings ^{from the} ~~proposed~~
23976 the H.C.

BB
9-2-3)

S

11 October 1937

Chief and Revenue
Secretariat

File No.

of 193

App. F. III-2

Serial
No.

The recommendation of M.C. that the estimate for the year 1938, 25,130, including the expenditure this year to Rs 23,976, the same being more than the savings in Chattram Savings Fund for 1937, and Rs 4,876 from the sources provided out by M.C. in para 5 of his letter dated 30th September 1936 may be sanctioned.

Submitted reference para 22 of the letter

Gen Secy (1937) May be approved
19/11/37
15/12/37

First member

31 10/12/37
15/12/37

32) Subd with a draft G.O. sanctioning the total amount provided for the renovation works in the current year's Chattram Savings Fund Budget is Rs 14,000 and not Rs 19,100 as stated in the Mysrai Commr's letter. If an additional sum of Rs 4,876 is made available out of the sources indicated in the Mysrai

GENERAL SECRETARY
9 FEB. 1937
1273

671

File No.

91

of 1936

6

General
Secret

Serial
No.

Letter of 28th Sept. 1936, the total amount
available for the renovation works will
during the current official year will be
Rs 23,876, and not Rs 23,976 as stated in
the Mayrai Comr's letter of 20th Sept. 1936.

16.2.37.

The amount of Rs 1000 is not in the
estimate of the works to be done by
the P. W. D. for the renovation of
the main building of the P. W. D.

16/2/37

1133-60, 17 2 37

The P. W. D.

16/2/37

Chief Engineer

16/2/37



16/2/37

1 copy of letter.
2 reports in duplicate
9 Encls.
1 abstr.
4 Encls.
2 abstr.
4 Encls.
24
17/8

No 686
35.55
Encl. file
17/8

1730
17/8/36
OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 14th August 1936



FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, Esq., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner

M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,

Through

General Department.

8 SEP. 1936
SIR
The Chief Engineer of Mysore, Bangalore.

Renovation of Temples and Basti at Pelur and Aleria.

In continuation of this office letter No. C.686/35-36 dated 5th August 1936 re. the above, I have the honor to forward herewith the detailed estimates aggregating Rs.29,600 prepared by the Executive Engineer in respect of works proposed to be carried out during 1936-37 and received in this office with letter No. C.16-35 dated 1st August 1936 from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

2. In accordance with the Three-year Programme drawn by the Renovation Committee, a sum of Rs.19,000 has been provided in the current year's budget of the Chattram Savings Fund sanctioned in C.O. No. 35-2 dated 10-8-1936. The proposals now formulated in the estimates prepared result in an additional expenditure of Rs.10,600.

In connection with the further extension of the Pepered Chattram Buildings, I have recommended that the expenditure to be incurred be spread over two years and if this is approved a sum of Rs. 5,000 will have to be operated upon during this year along from the closing balance of the Chattram Savings Fund. Besides, it is too early to say whether further demands will be made on the closing balance of the Chattram Savings Fund and how far they will have to be complied with. For these reasons among others, I am of opinion that it will not be possible to operate further upon the closing balance of the Fund and a sum of Rs.10,600 available this year. It is also doubtful whether the Government will be able to work out completely the estimates proposed for this year.

File submitted separately

File given 19/8

18/8

filed

19/8/36
18/8

(i)	Electric Light	..	..	Rs. 3,000	:work
(ii)	Acquisition of houses..	..	..	" 2,500	: "
iii)	Construction of car-shed	..	..	" 3,300	:may be
(iv)	Further work to the Jain Basti at Halebid	..	..	" 1,700	: "
				<u>Rs.10,500</u>	:deferred
					: "
					:till next
				<u><u>Rs.10,500</u></u>	: "
					:year and

3. I request that sanction of Government to the above proposals may be obtained early.

Your most obedient servant,

For the subd
 E. A.

Muzrai Comr's
 17/8/26

proposal may be accepted.
 The E.A. has to be asked not to incur expenditure
 on any of the works mentioned by the Muzrai Comr.

Muzrai Commissioner.

$\frac{28}{28}$

2309
over the top

le 8-9-46

Passed as with Compliments to the
General Secretary to Government.
14/19/86

Chief Engineer of my home

16

Letter No.114 dated the 28th July 1930, from the Executive Engineer, Hassan Division, to the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

Re: renovation works of Belur and Halebid temples during 36-37.-

=====

With reference to your No.C.16-35 dated 21-7-1930, I have the honor to forward three estimates amounting to Rs. 22,700/-, 4,300 and Rs. 2,400/- for carrying out renovation works to - (1) Sree Channakeshava-swamy temple at Belur, (2) Sree Hoisaleswaraswamy temple at Halebid and (3) Jain Basthi at Halebid respectively for favour of your counter-signature and obtaining Government sanction.

The reports accompanying the individual estimates explain the necessity for getting up the same.

The estimates are got up in accordance with the resolutions passed by the renovation Committee in their meeting of the 12th instant at Belur.

The favour of very early sanction to the estimate is requested.

" Copy "

For Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

as.

18

for the information of Govt, a list
of books proposed to be carried
out at a cost of Rs 5200/-

The matter may kindly be
treated as urgent

I have etc

2/11/11-

611 of 31-10-36 for ~~Secy.~~ Secy. to Govt
- ~~Bl. Gen. Secy.~~ Gen. Secy.
copy forwarded with compliments
~~copy~~ letter to O.C for
information

2/11/11-

Secy. to Govt
1925 Gen. Secy.

13

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 29th October 1936

No. 610

Muz 81-36-2

31/11

From

*The General Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Chief Engineer of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to Government order No. 1783/Muz.138-35-4 dated 27th June 1936 sanctioning the proposals of the Muzrai Commissioner to meet the sum of Rs.52,000 required to complete the renovation works of the three historic temples at Belur and Halebid from the *Chattram Savings Funds*, the expenditure being spread over a period of three years from 1936-37 and to request you to be so good as to forward for the information of Government, a list of works proposed to be carried out at a cost of Rs.52,000/-. The matter may kindly be treated as urgent.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sd. M.Venkatesa Iyengar.

General Secretary to Government.

Memo No. *611 Muz* Dated, Bangalore, the 29th October 1936.

31/11

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, for information.

Mr. Jagan Mura
For General Secretary to Govt.

JR

10 18.]

No. 841

35-6

Sec. Secy.

1936

3327

1-10-36

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER

IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 28th. September....1936.

30

Muz

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, Esq., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

TO

M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore,

Through

General Department.

The Chief Engineer of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

Appropriation of the Renovation Grants of
Belur and Halebid Temples.

I have the honor to forward herewith in original for reference and
return the marginally noted letters with their enclosures received from

- | | | |
|--|------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1. Hassan District Deputy Commissioner's letter No.C.16-35 | dated 17-12-1936 | } the Deputy
Commissioner, |
| 2. Do. | " 23- 7-1936 | |
| 3. Do. | " 7- 9-1936 | } Hassan District |
| 4. Do. | " 18- 9-1936 | |
| 5. Comptroller's letter No.244/WAD.1 | " 16- 9-1936 | } re. the above |
| 6. Executive Engineer's letter No.221 | " 4- 9-1936 | |
| 7. Do. " No.268 | " 15- 9-1936 | |

and to state

as follows.

2. In para 4 of G.O.No.511-7/Muz.135-23-13 dated 13-10-1934, 2
watchmen on a pay of Rs12 per mensem to look after the Belur and Hale-
bid Temples were sanctioned, the charge being debited to the grants
sanctioned for renovation works. Notwithstanding this specific sanction
the pay of the two watchmen from 1924-25 to 1929-30 amounting to
Rs2,224-10-0 was paid out of "24 Civil Works--Repairs to Civil Buildings
--Ancient Monuments". The wrong debit having been subsequently detected
the Deputy Commissioner, in para 6 of his letter dated 23-7-1936, re-
quested orders to draw this amount from the Renovation Grants of Belur
and Halebid Temples and credit it to the same head from which the ex-
penditure had been incurred to the end of 1929-30. The matter was
referred by me to the Comptroller to Government in view of what was said
in para 4 of the Deputy Commissioner's letter dated 17-12-1935 and he

was requested to intimate the authority under which the expenditure on

file
12/10/36

24/10/36

this account from 1924-25 to 1929-30^{was} debited to 24 Civil Works and whether its adjustment out of the Renovation Grant may be sanctioned. The Comptroller has replied in his letter dated 16-9-1936 that the amount may be drawn from the Renovation Grant and credited to "XIX Civil Works, 6 Miscellaneous".

3. The Deputy Commissioner has since sent his letter dated 7-9-1936 giving cover to the Executive Engineer's letter of the 4th instant, opining that no useful purpose will be served by crediting the amount to P.W.D. at this distance of time and that it may be transferred for expenditure in connection with the Renovation works of the Belur Temple.

4. In this connection, I wish to state that as regards the Renovation works proposed to be carried out during the current year (vide this office letter No.C.686/35-36 dated 15-8-1936 addressed to you through the Chief Engineer), the Executive Engineer brought to my notice that the construction of the car-shed was urgent and acquisition proceedings had already been taken and requested that a sum of Rs.3,000 in addition to Rs19,100 may, at least, be made available. In reply, he was informed that it was not possible to provide any additional allotment as demands on the Chattram Savings Fund were too many and that some other item of work which may be conveniently deferred till next year may be dropped from the current year's programme. The acquisition of certain properties at a cost of Rs2,645 has, however, been sanctioned in G.O.No.420-3/Muz.58-36-2 dated 16-9-1936.

5. In his further communication dated 15-8-1936, the Executive Engineer has again stated that estimates amounting to Rs25,130 (excluding only the electric lights to Belur Rs3,000, and renewal of broken beams in Halebid Temple Rs1,470) may be sanctioned out of the current year's programme, restricting the expenditure to Rs23,976. The Deputy Commissioner, in supporting this recommendation, states that a sum of Rs4,876 required in addition to Rs19,100 proposed to be contributed

Arrears from watchman's pay from 1924-25 to 1929-30	Rs2,224-10-0	from the Chattram Savings Fund during the year may be met from the marginally noted sources. I request that sanction of Government to the
Unspent amount with the Executive Engineer out of the Renovation Grant for 1935-36	" 485- 7-3	
Savings out of Renovation Grant of previous years.	" 2,324- 5-3	
Total	Rs5,034- 6-6	

estimates amounting to Rs25,130 may kindly be obtained in modification of the recommendation made in this office letter No.686/35-36 dated 15-8-1936 sent through the Chief Engineer, the excess cost, viz., Rs4,876 to be incurred during the year being met as above.

6. In conclusion, I may state that the utilisation of the arrears of pay of the watchmen to the extent of Rs2,224-10-0 towards the estimates of this year is nothing when compared with the large contributions that are being continuously made by the Muzrai Department since 1924 for the renovation of this historic temple.

I solicit the favour of early orders in the matter.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

[Signature]
Muzrai Commissioner.

[Signature]

B & K h Subd

1.10

1/10/36

Diary No. of letter replied to

tne 193 .

Date of issue 22-11-36

Signed by Mr. J. Paul Thomas

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by.....

Wend

Section

Mez

File No.

91-86

Serial No.

No.

688

To

The chief prisoner

Sir, List of works proposed to be ~~examined~~
 executed out of the grant of Rs 52000 for the res-
 toration of the temples at Solur and Halebid.
 Yours sincerely
 C. S. Chavhan

Reference to this office letter no

610/ Bureau 91-36-2 dated 29th Feb.
vol-4436 on the subject referred to above
~~to 7476~~ and to Request you to


be so good as to expedite submission
of the ^{called for therein,} ~~list of~~ works proposed to be
carried out at a cost of Rs 52000
for the renovation of the Halchid
and Balu temples.

Thank you

2
14101-

In Zeebaar boez
D
5/11/86

No. 4887
Encs



MYSORE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

CENTRAL OFFICE,

Bangalore, 11.12.1936

FROM

DIWAN BAHADUR

N. N. AYYANGAR, B.A., L.C.E., M.I.E. (Ind.), I.S.E.,

Chief Engineer of Mysore,

Bangalore.

To

The General Secretary to Government,

B a n g a l o r e .

SIR,

List of works proposed to be executed out of the grant
of Rs.52,000/- for the renovation of the temples at --
Belur and Halebid.

With reference to your letter No.688/Muz.91-36 dated
23rd November 1936, I have the honour to state that a programme
of works has been called for from the Superintending Engineer,
Shimoga Circle, and the same will be forwarded on receipt.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

T. R. S.
For Chief Engineer of Mysore.

T.R.S.

12/12

L & REV. SECRETARY
16 JAN 1937
works Department,
Rail Office

25-

No. 5850
ENCLS. 2

Dated, Bangalore, 15 1937

91-36-4.

FROM

The Chief Engineer of Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

GENERAL SECRETARY.
15 JAN 1937

The General Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Renovation of the temples at Belur and Halebid.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No.4887 dated 11th December 1936, I have the honour to forward herewith, a copy of the Triennial programme of works amounting to Rs.52,500/- as originally proposed and a copy of the revised programme for - - - 1936-37 for the Belur temple, as drawn up during the meeting of the Renovation Committee in July 1936.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Chandrasekhar
For Chief Engineer of Mysore..

T.R.S.

PROGRAMME OF RENOVATION W O R K S.

I. SRI CHENNAKESAWASWAMY TEMPLE AT BELUR.

1936 - 1937.

1. Paving the front platform with stones.	...	Rs. 1,500/-
2. Extension of Patisale or Salmantap.	...	3,000/-
3. Reconstruction of present store and kitchen.	...	1,500/-
4. Repairs to main Gopuram.	...	1,000/-
5. Repairs to Sukanasi.	...	1,000/-
6. Cleaning the images and carvings.	...	1,000/-
7. Construction of smaller shrines with SRI Ramanujacharyas Image.	...	1,000/-
8. Electric L i g h t s.	...	3,000/-
9. Dhvajasthombha, Balipeeta and unforeseen works.		500/-
10. Grouting, cement pointing, packing up hollows and crevices.		500/-
	Total.	15,000/-

1937 - 1938.

1. Reconstruction of car shed.	...	2,000/-
2. Pointing and paving.	...	1,500/-
3. Electric lighting.	...	1,000/-
4. Cleaning images.	...	1,000/-
5. Repairs to Ammanawara Sannidhi.	...	2,000/-
6. Parapet wall for main temple.	...	1,500/-
7. Store rooms etc.,	...	1,500/-
8. Museum and Commemoration Hall.	...	1,500/-
9. Acquisition of houses in front and formation of Yard.		2,500/-
10. Grouting, packing up crevices etc.,	...	500/-
	Total.	15,000/-

2)

- 2 -

1938 - 1939.

1. Repairs to main Gopuram.	...	Rs. 8000/-
2. Annamavari Temple Gopuram.	...	1,000/-
3. Cleaning Kalyani and repairs.	...	1,000/-
4. Cleaning images and carvings.	...	1,000/-
5. Unfinished masonry work.	...	1,000/-

Total .. 12,000/-

Total for 3 years 42,000/-

II. SRI HOISALESWARASWAMY TEMPLE AT HALPIDI
(PROGRAMME TO BE SPREAD OVER A PERIOD
OF THREE YEARS)

1. Dismantling and reconstruction of Bull Mantap.
2. Cleaning of grounds.
3. Cleaning of images and preservation.
4. Preparing platforms for Ganapati and Siva images in the compound.
5. Preparing a platform for the Sasana.
6. Removal of brick walls and ugly supports for the broken stone beams and restoring the original design throughout the interior of the temple.
7. Resetting bent up portions of the structure.
8. Cleaning and preservation work.
9. Provision of extra light in the temple.
10. Construction of stone parapet as per sample work done.

TOTAL AMOUNT RS. 17,500/-

III. J-II BASTRI, HALPIDI.

1. Replacing the cracked beams and crushed pillars inside the temple.
2. Resetting the front mantap.

Total amount Rs. 3,000/-

TOTAL AMOUNT REQUIRED FOR THE THREE TEMPLES
RS. 52,500/-

Amount _____

ABSTRACT ESTIMATE OF RENOVATION WORKS TO BE CARRIED OUT TO SRI
VASWATHY TEMPLE AT BELUR DURING 1936 - 1937.

No.	Items of sub heads.	Amount as per detail estimate.	Amount for 1936-37.	Remarks.
WORKS INCLUDED IN ORIGINAL PROGRAMME FOR 1936 - 1937.				
1.	Paving with new slabs to the front platform.	1,650/-	1,650	New work.
2.	Extending Salmantap towards (a) Western side.	1,642/-	1,640	- do -
	(b) completing the one under construction.	4,300/-	1,830/-	For complet ing the work taken up last
3.	Dismantling old kitchen and Stores and re- constructing the same for smaller shrines.	3,713/-	3,000	year.
4.	Repairing Sukhanasi in roofing.	1,100/-	1,100	
5.	Cleaning the main temple and Kappechenriga- raya temple (Interior).	1,000/-	1,000/-	
6.	Installing electric lights.	3,000/-	3,000	
7.	Removing and refixing Dhvajasthamba and Balipeeta and miscellaneous works.	500/-	500/-	
8.	Grouting, cement pointing, plastering up - hollows and crevices.	500/-	500	
Total. ...			14,220/-	
2. <u>INCOMPLETE WORKS OF LAST YEAR.</u>				
1.	Roofing over old Mahanarayana.	500	200	For completing remaining work
2.	Construction of steps in front of the temple.	1434	380	- do -
Total. ...			480/-	
3. ITEMS NOT INCLUDED IN ABOVE TO BE TAKEN UP AFRESH IN ADVANCE BEING <u>U R G E N T.</u>)				
1.	Construction of a car shed.	2900	2900	
2.	Reinforced concrete pillars to main Gopuram.	2300	2300	These are in- cluded in the original programme but not carried out.
3.	Preparing and installing Ramarajacharya's Image.	L.S. 500	L.S. 500	- do -
4.	Acquisition of houses in front of temple.	2500	2500	
Total. ...			8200/-	

GRAND TOTAL ... RS. 22,900/-

22/11

Handwritten signature
T. 1536

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
HASSAN DIVISION.

Handwritten signature

VAS.

4

Diary. No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 193 . }Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.Section *Muz*

File No. 91-86

Serial No. 5

Date of issue.....17-2-.....1937.

Read— G.O. No 1783 S8 / *Muz* 138-354dated 27th June 1936 sanctioning
the recommendation of the H C in
alleged that the sum of Rs 3484 made
available from the State and Genl*Muzrai* fund ~~used~~ for the renovation
of Sri Chennarayana temple at
Belur and Halebidu and

Order thereon. No. Rs 2488 made available

from the interest earnings of the

Genl *Muzrai* fund for the renovation
of Sri Chennarayana temple and
the gain ~~to be~~ at Halebidu
temple be released for the benefit

of other institutions in the State and

that the sum of Rs 52,000/- ~~is~~ required

to complete the renovation of the

May this be—

- (i) printed?
- (ii) treated as a standing order?
- (iii) published in the Gazette,
English Part?
- (iv) translated?
- (v) placed at the disposal of the press?
- (vi) published in the Gazette,
Kannada Part?

Halebid ~~6~~ was from the Chaltrani
Savings Fund, the expenditure
being spread over a period of
three years.

2/ Item No 33 (on the Expenditure
side) ~~introduced~~ ^{granted} for
Renovation of Belur and Halebid
Temples "Rs 19,000" in the Budget
Estimates of the Chaltrani Savings
Fund for the year 1936-37. Sanctioned
the G.O. No 2 36-31 / Rev. 317-35-2

Dated 10/11.8.1936

3/ Correspondence ending with

Letter No c 841 / 35-36 dated 30.9.36
(given in extenso, as an appendix to the order)
(Received with Chief Engineer)

Memorandum No. c. 6. dated 26.10.1936

Requesting sanction to certain

estimates aggregating ^{to} Rs 29,600
for the renovation of the ^{temples at} Belur and

Copied by

Examined by

Halebid ~~temples~~ during the years
1936-37, the cost being met
as indicated therein

List of Enclosure

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

1/11/36

(4) No. 10. Note No P 449

29.1.1937 from the Chief Engineer
Muzrai stating that the amount
Rs 4876 referred to, in the
M. E. letter dated 30.9.36
and above, is said to be
available in the Muzrai Pass
Books relating to the temples
and will have to be placed
at the disposal of the P. W. D.
by the Muzrai Department

C. R. S. - 1153-6

Enc 2 of 6, S. M. C.

The Muzrai Commissioner has

submitted three war estimates
as noted below, aggregating to

referred to above

Rs 296.50/- for Revolution of the above temples
during the current year

(i) Estimate for Rs 22,900 including

a provision of Rs 3000 for installing

electric lights) for Revolution of

the below temples

(ii) Estimate for Rs 4,300/- including

a provision of Rs 1420 for Revolution of

the broken beams of Nataranga
^{sri}
 Minstap of Hojaleswaraswamy
 temple) for renovation of the temple
 at Halebid.

(iii) Estimate for Rs 2400/- for the
 renovation of ^{the} Jain Bashi ~~Front~~
 at Halebid.

He has stated that the two items costing
 Rs 3000 and Rs 1470 ~~are~~ amounting to
~~the total cost of~~ Rs 4470 included

in the first two estimates, may
 be deleted and the estimates
 sanctioned for Rs 25,130/- out
 of the current year's programme
 the expenditure during the year
 being restricted to Rs 23,976
 and not as indicated below

Rs 19000 from the provision made
 for the purpose in the G.O. dated
 11.8.1936 Read above

4976 from the savings provided
 out by him in his letter dated
 30.9.36 Read above.

Govt are pleased to sanction the
 recommendations of the M.C.

R. S. Rao Secy

Letter No. 4.841/35-36 Dated 20th September 1936 from the
Magdal Commissioner to the General Secretary to Government, received
with Chief Engineer's Memo.

- - -

appropriation of the renovation grant of
Major A. Halebid to plan.

....

I have the honor to forward herewith in original for record

1. Messrs Dist. Deputy Commissioner's No. 5.15-5 of 17-12-36	and refer to the
2. do do 22-7-36	rev. 11-
3. do do 7-9-36	note letter
4. do do 18-9-36	
5. Comptroller's letter No. 244/1201	16-9-36 with their
6. Executive Engineer's letter No. 221	4-9-36
7. do do No. 260	15-9-36 enclosures

received from

the Deputy Commissioner, Messrs District, re. the above and to
state as follows.

2. In para 4 of L.O. No. 511-7/12.105-35-36 dated 10-11-36, 2 watchmen on a pay of Rs. 12 per mensem. A loan for the below and Halebid temples were sanctioned, the charge being levied to the grants sanctioned for renovation work. I would like to state that the sanction, the pay of the two watchmen from 1934-35 to 1939-40 amounting to Rs. 2,224-10-00 paid on 10-12-36 Civil works department to civil buildings department. The amount being having been subsequently detected, the Deputy Commissioner, L.O. 6 of his letter dated 23-7-1936, requested order to debit the amount from the renovation grant of Rs. 10,00,000 and credit it to the same head from which the expenditure was incurred to the end of 1939-40. He requested the Comptroller to recover the amount from the Deputy Commissioner's letter dated 17-12-1935 he was requested to intimate the authority for the expenditure on this account from 1934-35 to 1939-40. He stated that 24 Civil works etc., and whether it was for the renovation grant or for other work.

In his letter dated 16-9-1956 that the amount may be drawn from the convention grant and credited to L.L. #1411 - order 6 disallowed.

3. The Deputy Commissioner has also sent his letter dated 2-6-61 giving cover to the 'Incentive Engineer' letter of the 1th instant, opining that no useful purpose will be served by creating the post of 'I.E.' at this distance of time and that it may be from some expenditure in connection with the removal of the 'I.E.' from the service.

4. In this connection, I wish to state that in accordance with the convention which was proposed to be carried out under the current law (vide this of the letter No. 646/35-36 dated 15-1-1936) and to you through the Chief Engineer, the Executive Engineer has had to give notice that the construction of the proposed railway and consultation proceedings have already been completed and the same is now in the hands of the Chief Engineer for his consideration. In view of the fact that the Chief Engineer is not able to provide any additional information, it is suggested that the same be referred to the Chief Engineer for his consideration and the same be conveniently deferred till such time as the Chief Engineer is able to provide the same. The regulation of the Chief Engineer is No. 2,645 has, however, been issued on 15-1-1936.

5. U.S. 51, with a view to the fact that the engineer has instructed that the electric lighting system, only the electric lights to be installed in the building, in 1976. The total cost of the proposed project, estimated at \$1,000,000, is to be contributed from the U.S. 51, in 1976. The total cost of the proposed project, estimated at \$1,000,000, is to be contributed from the U.S. 51, in 1976.

Arrears from watchmen's pay from 1924-25 to 1929-30	Rs. 2,224-10- 0
Unspent amount with the Executive Engineer out of the Devotion grant for 1935-36	485- 7- 3
Savings out of Devotion grant of pre- vious years	2,524- 5- 3
Total....	Rs. 5,034- 6- 6

I request that sanction of Government to the entire sum amounting to Rs. 5,130 may kindly be obtained in consideration of the recommendation made in this office letter No. 686/35-36 dated 15-0-36 sent through the Chief Engineer, the excess cost, viz., Rs. 4,876 to be incurred during the year being set as above.

6. In conclusion, I may state that the utilization of the arrears of pay of the watchmen to the extent of Rs. 2,224-10-0 towards the estimates of this year is nothing when compared with the large contributions that are being continuously made by the Mazari Department since 1924 for the renovation of this historic temple.

I solicit the favour of early orders in the matter.

- - - -

Mr. Jagan Munn
For General Secretary to Govt
[Signature]